UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,082	11/05/2003	Michihito Ueda	61352-057	6300
20277 7590 05/08/2007 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			EXAMINER	
600 13TH STF	REET, N.W.		MAI, TAN V	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•	31
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,082	UEDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tan V. Mai	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ion. period will apply and will expire SIX y statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 July 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a			
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn fròm consideratio		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	accepted or b) object to the drawing(s) be held in		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been receive uments have been receive e priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National Stage).	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/04.	48) Pa ₁ (SB/08) 5) ☐ No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) per:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/701,082

Art Unit: 2193

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology are used in this paragraph (i.e., "comprises" and "comprised"). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of copending Application No. 10/781,819. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: (1) the "mixer" of the instance application recites "fluctuation superposed signal with analog ... superposed on an input signal represented by an analog". It implies adding two signals (see Fig. 14); and (2) the difference calculation means" of '819 recites "output fluctuation difference data with an output fluctuation generator added to a difference in analog between two data". It also implies adding two signals, wherein one is different between two data". If the "input

Àpplication/Control Number: 10/701,082 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

signal" of the instance application is the "different between two data", the claims seem

to be nearly identical.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the

conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner